

# Practice Performance Report

## Kansas CQI

Report Created: Oct 27, 2022

The Practice Performance Report provides an aggregated summary of practice performance for all 18 items in the OSRI across all cases in a review, by case type. Users may filter by case status, site, PUR start date, race, ethnicity, reason for agency involvement, and by cases that have been designated for PIP monitoring.

Practice Performance Report for All Sites, Case Status: [In Progress, Data Entry Complete, QA in Progress, Case Complete, Approved and Final], PUR Start Date(s): [Jan 2022, Jul 2022], All Races, All Ethnicities, All Reason(s) for Agency Involvement

### Safety Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

#### Item 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations of Reports of Child Maltreatment

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)
		All Case Types
Reasons for delays in initiation of investigations or assessments and/or face-to-face contact were due to circumstances beyond the control of the agency.	C – Yes	8.33% (2) of 24

### Safety Outcome 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

#### Item 2: Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in the Home and Prevent Removal or Re-Entry Into Foster Care

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Agency made concerted efforts to provide or arrange for appropriate services for the family to protect the children and prevent their entry or reentry into foster care.	A – Yes and B – Yes	36% (9) of 25	0% (0) of 32	0	15.79% (9) of 57
Agency made concerted efforts to provide or arrange for appropriate services for the family to protect the children and prevent their entry or reentry into foster care.	A – Yes and B – NA	32% (8) of 25	96.88% (31) of 32	0	68.42% (39) of 57
Although the agency did not make concerted efforts to provide or arrange for appropriate services for the family to protect the children and prevent their entry into foster care, the child(ren) was removed from the home because this action was necessary to ensure the child's safety.	A – No and B – Yes	28% (7) of 25	0% (0) of 32	0	12.28% (7) of 57
Concerted efforts were not made to provide appropriate safety-related services and the child(ren) remained in the home.	A – No and B – NA	0% (0) of 25	3.13% (1) of 32	0	1.75% (1) of 57

Item 3: Risk and Safety Assessment and Management

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
There were no maltreatment allegations about the family that were never formally reported or formally investigated/assessed.	A1 first bullet – No	99.41% (168) of 169	100% (47) of 47	0	99.54% (215) of 216
There were no maltreatment allegations that were not substantiated despite evidence that would support substantiation.	A1 second bullet – No	100% (169) of 169	100% (47) of 47	0	100% (216) of 216
The agency conducted an initial assessment that accurately assessed all risk and safety concerns.	A – Yes	88.89% (24) of 27	97.44% (38) of 39	0	93.94% (62) of 66
The agency conducted ongoing assessments that accurately assessed all risk and safety concerns.	B – Yes	87.43% (146) of 167	95.74% (45) of 47	0	89.25% (191) of 214
When safety concerns were present, the agency developed an appropriate safety plan with the family and continually monitored the safety plan as needed, including monitoring family engagement in safety-related services.	C – Yes	68.42% (13) of 19	86.49% (32) of 37	0	80.36% (45) of 56
There were no safety concerns pertaining to children in the family home that were not adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency.	D – No	82.98% (39) of 47	88.89% (16) of 18	0	84.62% (55) of 65
There were no concerns related to the safety of the target child in foster care during visitation with parent(s)/caretaker(s) or other family members that were not adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency.	E – No	93.33% (98) of 105	0	0	93.33% (98) of 105
There were no concerns for the target child's safety in the foster home or placement facility that were not adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency.	F – No	95.27% (161) of 169	0	0	95.27% (161) of 169

Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

Item 4: Stability of Foster Care Placement

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Placement changes for the child were planned by the agency in an effort to achieve the child's case goals or to meet the needs of the child.	B – Yes	50% (17) of 34	0	0	50% (17) of 34
The child's current or most recent placement setting is stable.	C – Yes	92.94% (158) of 170	0	0	92.94% (158) of 170

--

Item 5: Permanency Goal for Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Permanency goals in effect during the period under review were established in a timely manner.	B – Yes	79.17% (133) of 168	0	0	79.17% (133) of 168
Permanency goals in effect during the period under review were appropriate to the child's needs for permanency and to the circumstances of the case.	C – Yes	88.69% (149) of 168	0	0	88.69% (149) of 168
The agency either filed or joined a termination of parental rights petition in a timely manner or an exception applied.	F – Yes or G – Yes	81.82% (72) of 88	0	0	81.82% (72) of 88

Item 6: Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency and court made concerted efforts to achieve reunification in a timely manner.	A4 – Reunification and B – Yes	72.29% (60) of 83	0	0	72.29% (60) of 83
The agency and court made concerted efforts to achieve guardianship in a timely manner.	A4 – Guardianship and B – Yes	50% (2) of 4	0	0	50% (2) of 4
The agency and court made concerted efforts to achieve adoption in a timely manner.	A4 – Adoption and B – Yes	46.25% (37) of 80	0	0	46.25% (37) of 80
The agency and court made concerted efforts to place a child with a goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement in a living arrangement that can be considered permanent until discharge from foster care.	A4 – OPPLA and C – Yes	71.43% (15) of 21	0	0	71.43% (15) of 21

Permanency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.

Item 7: Placement With Siblings

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The child was placed with all siblings who also were in foster care.	A – Yes	56.76% (63) of 111	0	0	56.76% (63) of 111
There was a valid reason for the child's separation from siblings in placement.	B – Yes	72.92% (35) of 48	0	0	72.92% (35) of 48

Item 8: Visiting With Parents and Siblings in Foster Care

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The usual frequency of visits between the child and mother was more than once a week.	A1 – More than once a week	7.69% (7) of 91	0	0	7.69% (7) of 91
The usual frequency of visits between the child and mother was once a week.	A1 – Once a week	31.87% (29) of 91	0	0	31.87% (29) of 91
The usual frequency of visits between the child and mother was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	A1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	15.38% (14) of 91	0	0	15.38% (14) of 91
The usual frequency of visits between the child and mother was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	A1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	7.69% (7) of 91	0	0	7.69% (7) of 91
The usual frequency of visits between the child and mother was less than once a month.	A1 – Less than once a month	20.88% (19) of 91	0	0	20.88% (19) of 91
Child never had visits with mother.	A1 – Never	16.48% (15) of 91	0	0	16.48% (15) of 91

Item 8: Visiting With Parents and Siblings in Foster Care

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The usual frequency of visits between the child and father was more than once a week.	B1 – More than once a week	10.81% (8) of 74	0	0	10.81% (8) of 74
The usual frequency of visits between the child and father was once a week.	B1 – Once a week	17.57% (13) of 74	0	0	17.57% (13) of 74
The usual frequency of visits between the child and father was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	B1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	17.57% (13) of 74	0	0	17.57% (13) of 74
The usual frequency of visits between the child and father was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	B1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	0% (0) of 74	0	0	0% (0) of 74
The usual frequency of visits between the child and father was less than once a month.	B1 – Less than once a month	17.57% (13) of 74	0	0	17.57% (13) of 74
Child never had visits with father.	B1 – Never	36.49% (27) of 74	0	0	36.49% (27) of 74
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the frequency of visitation between the mother and child was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	A – Yes	76.92% (70) of 91	0	0	76.92% (70) of 91
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the frequency of visitation between the father and child was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	B – Yes	68.92% (51) of 74	0	0	68.92% (51) of 74
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the quality of visitation between the mother and child was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	C – Yes	80.82% (59) of 73	0	0	80.82% (59) of 73
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the quality of visitation between the father and child was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	D – Yes	84.78% (39) of 46	0	0	84.78% (39) of 46
The usual frequency of visits between the child and siblings was more than once a week.	E1 – More than once a week	0% (0) of 42	0	0	0% (0) of 42
The usual frequency of visits between the child and siblings was once a week.	E1 – Once a week	28.57% (12) of 42	0	0	28.57% (12) of 42
The usual frequency of visits between the child and siblings was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	E1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	9.52% (4) of 42	0	0	9.52% (4) of 42
The usual frequency of visits between the child and siblings was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	E1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	14.29% (6) of 42	0	0	14.29% (6) of 42
The usual frequency of visits between the child and siblings was less than once a month.	E1 – Less than once a month	23.81% (10) of 42	0	0	23.81% (10) of 42
Child never had visits with siblings.	E1 – Never	23.81% (10) of 42	0	0	23.81% (10) of 42

Item 8: Visiting With Parents and Siblings in Foster Care

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the frequency of visitation between the child and siblings was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	E – Yes	51.16% (22) of 43	0	0	51.16% (22) of 43
Concerted efforts were made to ensure that the quality of visitation between the child and siblings was sufficient to maintain or promote the continuity of the relationship.	F – Yes	67.57% (25) of 37	0	0	67.57% (25) of 37
The frequency and quality of visitation with siblings was sufficient to maintain and promote the continuity of the relationship.	E – Yes and F – Yes or NA	44.19% (19) of 43	0	0	44.19% (19) of 43
The frequency and quality of visitation between the child and mother was sufficient to maintain and promote the continuity of the relationship.	A – Yes and C – Yes or NA	67.03% (61) of 91	0	0	67.03% (61) of 91
The frequency and quality of visitation between the child and father was sufficient to maintain and promote the continuity of the relationship.	B – Yes and D – Yes or NA	62.16% (46) of 74	0	0	62.16% (46) of 74

Item 9: Preserving Connections

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Concerted efforts were made to maintain the child's important connections (for example, neighborhood, community, faith, language, extended family members including siblings who are not in foster care, Tribe, school, and/or friends).	A – Yes	80.24% (134) of 167	0	0	80.24% (134) of 167
Sufficient inquiry was conducted to determine whether a child may be a member of, or eligible for membership in, a federally recognized Indian Tribe.	B – Yes	95.81% (160) of 167	0	0	95.81% (160) of 167
The Tribe was provided timely notification of its right to intervene in any state court proceedings for a child who may be a member, or eligible for membership in, a federally recognized Indian Tribe.	C – Yes	66.67% (6) of 9	0	0	66.67% (6) of 9
A child who is a member of, or eligible for membership in, a federally recognized Indian Tribe was placed in foster care in accordance with Indian Child Welfare Act placement preferences or concerted efforts to make such a placement were made.	D – Yes	62.5% (5) of 8	0	0	62.5% (5) of 8

Item 10: Relative Placement

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The child's current, or most recent, placement was with a relative.	A1 – Yes	49.09% (81) of 165	0	0	49.09% (81) of 165
The child's current or most recent placement with a relative was stable or appropriate to the child's needs.	A2 – Yes	98.77% (80) of 81	0	0	98.77% (80) of 81
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Identify</b> maternal relatives.	B, if No...	68.18% (15) of 22	0	0	68.18% (15) of 22
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Locate</b> maternal relatives.	B, if No...	54.55% (12) of 22	0	0	54.55% (12) of 22
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Inform</b> maternal relatives.	B, if No...	77.27% (17) of 22	0	0	77.27% (17) of 22
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Evaluate</b> maternal relatives.	B, if No...	81.82% (18) of 22	0	0	81.82% (18) of 22
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Identify</b> paternal relatives.	C, if No...	77.78% (21) of 27	0	0	77.78% (21) of 27
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Locate</b> paternal relatives.	C, if No...	66.67% (18) of 27	0	0	66.67% (18) of 27
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Inform</b> paternal relatives.	C, if No...	70.37% (19) of 27	0	0	70.37% (19) of 27
Cases in which concerns existed due to a lack of concerted efforts to <b>Evaluate</b> paternal relatives.	C, if No...	77.78% (21) of 27	0	0	77.78% (21) of 27

Item 11: Relationship of Child in Care With Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Concerted efforts were made to promote, support, and otherwise maintain a positive, nurturing relationship between the child in foster care and his or her mother.	A – Yes	63.74% (58) of 91	0	0	63.74% (58) of 91
Concerted efforts were made to promote, support, and otherwise maintain a positive, nurturing relationship between the child in foster care and his or her father.	B – Yes	57.14% (40) of 70	0	0	57.14% (40) of 70



Well-Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.

Item 12: Needs and Services of Child, Parents, and Foster Parents

Sub-Item 12A: Needs Assessment and Services to Children

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency conducted formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessments that accurately assessed the child's needs.	A1 – Yes	90.59% (154) of 170	80.85% (38) of 47	0	88.48% (192) of 217
Appropriate services were provided to meet the child's needs.	A2 – Yes	89.32% (92) of 103	71.43% (15) of 21	0	86.29% (107) of 124

Sub-Item 12B: Needs Assessment and Services to Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency conducted formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessments that accurately assessed the mother's needs.	B1 – Yes	61% (61) of 100	93.48% (43) of 46	0	71.23% (104) of 146
The agency conducted formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessments that accurately assessed the father's needs.	B2 – Yes	60.76% (48) of 79	48.57% (17) of 35	0	57.02% (65) of 114
Appropriate services were provided to meet the mother's needs.	B3 – Yes	60.23% (53) of 88	82.05% (32) of 39	0	66.93% (85) of 127
Appropriate services were provided to meet the father's needs.	B4 – Yes	58.06% (36) of 62	47.62% (10) of 21	0	55.42% (46) of 83
Concerted efforts were made both to assess and address the needs of mothers.	B1 – Yes and B3 – Yes or NA	59% (59) of 100	84.78% (39) of 46	0	67.12% (98) of 146
Concerted efforts were made both to assess and address the needs of fathers.	B2 – Yes and B4 – Yes or NA	58.23% (46) of 79	48.57% (17) of 35	0	55.26% (63) of 114

Sub-Item 12C: Needs Assessment and Services to Foster Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types



Sub-Item 12C: Needs Assessment and Services to Foster Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency adequately assessed the needs of the foster or pre-adoptive parents related to caring for children in their care on an ongoing basis.	C1 – Yes	88.61% (140) of 158	0	0	88.61% (140) of 158
The agency provided appropriate services to foster and pre-adoptive parents related to caring for children in their care.	C2 – Yes	80.9% (72) of 89	0	0	80.9% (72) of 89

Item 13: Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency made concerted efforts to actively involve the child in the case planning process.	A – Yes	80.73% (88) of 109	65.71% (23) of 35	0	77.08% (111) of 144
The agency made concerted efforts to actively involve the mother in the case planning process.	B – Yes	69.07% (67) of 97	82.22% (37) of 45	0	73.24% (104) of 142
The agency made concerted efforts to actively involve the father in the case planning process.	C – Yes	66.23% (51) of 77	66.67% (14) of 21	0	66.33% (65) of 98

Item 14: Caseworker Visits With Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types

Item 14: Caseworker Visits With Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and child(ren) was more than once a week.	A1 – More than once a week	0% (0) of 170	0% (0) of 47	0	0% (0) of 217
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and child(ren) was once a week.	A1 – Once a week	1.18% (2) of 170	34.04% (16) of 47	0	8.29% (18) of 217
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and child(ren) was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	A1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	5.88% (10) of 170	29.79% (14) of 47	0	11.06% (24) of 217
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and child(ren) was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	A1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	76.47% (130) of 170	17.02% (8) of 47	0	63.59% (138) of 217
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and child(ren) was less than once a month.	A1 – Less than once a month	15.29% (26) of 170	14.89% (7) of 47	0	15.21% (33) of 217
Caseworker never had visits with child(ren).	A1 – Never	1.18% (2) of 170	4.26% (2) of 47	0	1.84% (4) of 217
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and the child(ren) was sufficient.	A – Yes	80.59% (137) of 170	76.6% (36) of 47	0	79.72% (173) of 217
The quality of visits between the caseworker and the child(ren) was sufficient.	B – Yes	66.67% (110) of 165	68.89% (31) of 45	0	67.14% (141) of 210

Item 15: Caseworker Visits With Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types

Item 15: Caseworker Visits With Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and mother was more than once a week.	A1 – More than once a week	0% (0) of 96	4.44% (2) of 45	0	1.42% (2) of 141
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and mother was once a week.	A1 – Once a week	0% (0) of 96	55.56% (25) of 45	0	17.73% (25) of 141
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and mother was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	A1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	6.25% (6) of 96	17.78% (8) of 45	0	9.93% (14) of 141
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and mother was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	A1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	39.58% (38) of 96	6.67% (3) of 45	0	29.08% (41) of 141
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and mother was less than once a month.	A1 – Less than once a month	42.71% (41) of 96	11.11% (5) of 45	0	32.62% (46) of 141
Caseworker never had visits with mother.	A1 – Never	11.46% (11) of 96	4.44% (2) of 45	0	9.22% (13) of 141
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and the mother was sufficient.	A2 – Yes	51.04% (49) of 96	80% (36) of 45	0	60.28% (85) of 141
The quality of visits between the caseworker and the mother was sufficient.	C – Yes	70.37% (57) of 81	88.37% (38) of 43	0	76.61% (95) of 124
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and father was more than once a week.	B1 – More than once a week	0% (0) of 76	4.55% (1) of 22	0	1.02% (1) of 98
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and father was once a week.	B1 – Once a week	0% (0) of 76	50% (11) of 22	0	11.22% (11) of 98
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and father was less than once a week but at least twice a month.	B1 – Less than once a week, but at least twice a month	3.95% (3) of 76	0% (0) of 22	0	3.06% (3) of 98
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and father was less than twice a month but at least once a month.	B1 – Less than twice a month, but at least once a month	27.63% (21) of 76	0% (0) of 22	0	21.43% (21) of 98
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and father was less than once a month.	B1 – Less than once a month	38.16% (29) of 76	27.27% (6) of 22	0	35.71% (35) of 98
Caseworker never had visits with father.	B1 – Never	30.26% (23) of 76	18.18% (4) of 22	0	27.55% (27) of 98
The typical pattern of visits between the caseworker and the father was sufficient.	B2 – Yes	42.11% (32) of 76	54.55% (12) of 22	0	44.9% (44) of 98
The quality of visits between the caseworker and the father was sufficient.	D – Yes	75.47% (40) of 53	66.67% (12) of 18	0	73.24% (52) of 71
Both the frequency and quality of caseworker visitation with the mother were sufficient.	A2 – Yes and C – Yes or NA	41.67% (40) of 96	75.56% (34) of 45	0	52.48% (74) of 141

Item 15: Caseworker Visits With Parents

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
Both the frequency and quality of caseworker visitation with the father were sufficient.	B2 – Yes and D – Yes or NA	38.16% (29) of 76	45.45% (10) of 22	0	39.8% (39) of 98

Well-Being Outcome 2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.

Item 16: Educational Needs of the Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency made concerted efforts to accurately assess the children's educational needs.	A – Yes	89.31% (142) of 159	87.5% (14) of 16	0	89.14% (156) of 175
The agency made concerted efforts to address the children's educational needs through appropriate services.	B – Yes	78.13% (75) of 96	90.91% (10) of 11	0	79.44% (85) of 107

Well-Being Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

Item 17: Physical Health of the Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency accurately assessed the children's physical health needs.	A1 – Yes	66.86% (113) of 169	77.78% (14) of 18	0	67.91% (127) of 187
The agency accurately assessed the children's dental health care needs.	A2 – Yes	60.24% (100) of 166	90.91% (10) of 11	0	62.15% (110) of 177
The agency provided appropriate oversight of prescription medications for the physical health issues of the target child in foster care.	B1 – Yes	70.59% (48) of 68	0	0	70.59% (48) of 68
The agency ensured that appropriate services were provided to the children to address all identified physical health needs.	B2 – Yes	47.24% (60) of 127	66.67% (4) of 6	0	48.12% (64) of 133
The agency ensured that appropriate services were provided to the children to address all identified dental health needs.	B3 – Yes	41.23% (47) of 114	66.67% (2) of 3	0	41.88% (49) of 117

Item 18: Mental/Behavioral Health of the Child

Practice Description	Data Source(s)	Performance (of applicable cases)			
		Foster Care	In-Home Services	In-Home Services - DR/AR	All Case Types
The agency accurately assessed the children's mental/behavioral health needs.	A – Yes	92.81% (129) of 139	84.38% (27) of 32	0	91.23% (156) of 171
The agency provided appropriate oversight of prescription medications for the mental/behavioral health issues of the target child in foster care.	B – Yes	70.83% (51) of 72	0	0	70.83% (51) of 72
The agency ensured that appropriate services were provided to the children to address all identified mental/behavioral health needs.	C – Yes	78.05% (96) of 123	75% (21) of 28	0	77.48% (117) of 151

Reports should be considered preliminary until all cases are finalized.